

WhatDoTheyKnow.com relies on volunteers. [Can you help?](#)

×

Hello! You can make Freedom of Information requests within United States of America at [MuckRock.com](#)

×

Printed from https://www.whatdotheyknow.com/request/article_61_magna_carta_1215_lawf_2 on November 03, 2020 01:19

WhatDoTheyKnow

Article. 61 Magna Carta 1215 Lawfully Invoked.

[Marc Chamberlain](#) made this Freedom of Information request to [Supreme Court of the United Kingdom](#)

This request has been **closed to new correspondence from the public body**. [Contact us](#) if you think it ought be re-opened.

Follow

25 followers

[Supreme Court of the United Kingdom](#) **did not have** the information requested.

Marc Chamberlain 28 April 2017

[Delivered](#)

Dear Supreme Court of the United Kingdom,

The evident invocation of Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 occurred on the 23rd March 2001 reported in the Daily Telegraph on the 24th March 2001 (<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/.../Peers-pet.....>) and, which is also evidenced by researching the letters available on the internet between Robin Janvrin and the Committee of the Barons at the time, which means that I, along with all peoples of this realm have a lawful duty under common law/constitutional law, to stand by the invocation of said Article 61 and, whilst remaining a lawful and loyal sovereign man, I take full responsibility for my actions and, I hereby notify you of my standing to avoid any confrontation whatsoever, to inform you of the fact that you also have the same duty to serve and protect the constitution according to your Oath, and to stand by the said Article 61 yourself.

Whereas I am a peaceful man and, that I seek to conduct my duties lawfully and honourably, albeit in normal circumstances I would hereby serve you with my 'notice of lawful objection' and request from you any objections you may have to my common law rights to stand in 'lawful rebellion'. However, the directions and guidance given it is assumed no response would be forthcoming. Whereas you have a 'duty of care' to protect and to serve the peoples, according to constitutional law, under a constitutional monarchy, which has been usurped by criminals in public office for over 40 years. I urge you to investigate this criminality as a matter of urgency anyway, under law.

The Nice treaty is still today being attempted to be ratified by treasonous public offices and by doing so it will destroy the constitutional laws of the entire realm of Great Britain and the commonwealth. This is an act of treason at common law and must NOT be allowed to occur. The Nice treaty was the grievance that the committee of the barons were referring to with the petition to the office of sovereign on the 7th February 2001.

You would normally be required to respond to this Notice of lawful objection within Seven (7) days from your receipt of this document. AND, If however there is no reply in 'substance' or otherwise to this 'Notice of lawful objection' then it would have been taken to mean that you (nor any other interested parties) have no objections whatsoever to my lawful standing and, that I will not come into conflict with ANYONE from Police Constables through the Supreme Court, nor will they make ANY demands on me unless they comply with Common law. Common law is 'of' the laws of God (commandments) and constitutional law.

Any reply to this 'Notice of lawful Objection' would normally be made on your full commercial liability and penalty of perjury and, hopefully you will have responded to in substance with regard to the very serious matter raised herein.

However, I may completely off course with how I believe the law to stand today, and therefore Your Honourable Supreme Court, I ask, please if you would be so kind to provide the law together with any and all factual evidence to show that:

this is not the case, that Article 61 is not the law, and that the Barons committee are not the highest authority in the land and that the Barons committee do not indeed have to publicly announce that they have received redress for the grievance for which A61 MC 1215 was petition upon the Monarch. UNTIL REDRESS IS RECEIVED.

Sincerely, and without ill will, vexation or frivolity, and, on my full commercial liability and penalty of perjury. With no admission of liability whatsoever and, with all my natural, unalienable common law rights reserved.

Marc Chamberlain.

(signed)

Obviously it is my duty under the law which is A61 MC 1215 to compel you and all at the Supreme Court to also stand under law and inform you of the evidence herein.. We look forward to welcoming you to stand with the whole realm, the Barons committee, under the law TODAY, A.61 MC 1215 LAWFULLY INVOKED.. God bless.

Yours faithfully,
M Chamberlain

Enquiries (UK Supreme Court), Supreme Court of the United Kingdom 23 May 2017

1 Attachment

FOI 2017 18.pdf

91K [Download](#) [View as HTML](#)

Dear Mr Chamberlain,

Thank you for your Freedom of Information request which we received on 28 April 2017. Please find our response attached.

Kind regards,

Rebecca Lawson

Information Officer

Supreme Court of the United Kingdom

Parliament Square, London, SW1P 3BD

Tel: 020 7960 1900

www.supremecourt.uk

Follow us on Twitter @UKSupremeCourt

The original of this e-mail was scanned and on leaving the UKSC/JCPC network this was certified as virus free, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the organisation.

Marc Chamberlain 23 May 2017

[Delivered](#)

Dear Enquiries (UK Supreme Court),

Im not sure what to say, however, I suppose I must ask you to Please provide any and all information detailing the duties of the Barons committee of 25 who lawfully invoked article 61 Magna Carta 1215 on March 23rd 2001.

Yours sincerely,

Marc Chamberlain

Enquiries (UK Supreme Court), Supreme Court of the United Kingdom 26 June 2017

1 Attachment

FOI 2017 23.pdf

37K [Download](#) [View as HTML](#)

Dear Mr Chamberlain,

Thank you for your Freedom of Information request which we received on 23 May 2017.

Please find the response from my colleague, Mr Brigland, attached.

Kind regards,

Rebecca Lawson

Information Officer

Supreme Court of the United Kingdom

Parliament Square, London, SW1P 3BD

Tel: 020 7960 1900

www.supremecourt.uk

Follow us on Twitter @UKSupremeCourt

The original of this e-mail was scanned and on leaving the UKSC/JCPC network this was certified as virus free, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the organisation.

Marc Chamberlain 30 June 2017

Delivered

Dear Enquiries (UK Supreme Court),

It appears that no matter how one words a request for information to confirm Article 61 Magna Carta has been lawfully invoked, the response is always one that avoids the question and never answers..

Its a simple question,

Yours sincerely,

William Arnold, Supreme Court of the United Kingdom 6 July 2017

1 Attachment

Internal Review FOI 2017 23.pdf

37K [Download](#) [View as HTML](#)

Dear Mr Chamberlain

You have requested an internal review of Mr Brigland's enclosed reply to your FOI request below.

As Mr Brigland explained, the UK Supreme Court does not hold any information relating to the subject you specified. There is therefore no information held by this Court which we can release to you.

Also, the FOI Act does not entitle you to request general legal advice or information; and as a Court the UKSC is in any event not able to offer you legal or constitutional advice.

I therefore confirm the terms in which Mr Brigland replied to your request.

William Arnold

Director of Corporate Services

The Supreme Court of the United Kingdom

Parliament Square

London SW1P 3BD

020 7960 1880

[\[email address\]](#)

[show quoted sections](#)



danny left an annotation (4 March 2018)

Any of you realise what colour ink the response was signed in ??

Just a thought ?what about asking for documentation on article 61 and any other data relating to it rather than asking if someone has invoked it surly any supporting data would clarify its standing ask about the article only and see what you get back if you ask if someone has invoked it your asking for personal information which is data protection

Follow

25 followers

We work to defend the right to FOI for everyone

Help us protect your right to hold public authorities to account. Donate and support our work.

Donate Now