

SUPREME/DISTRICT/MAGISTRATES COURT OF QUEENSLAND

REGISTRY: Brisbane
NUMBER: 5084/13

Plaintiff^[1]:

AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND
BANKING GROUP LIMITED CAN 005 357
522

[First] Defendant^[2]:

AND
DAVID ALEXANDER BROWNING IN HIS
OWN CAPACITY AND AS TRUSTEE FOR
THE TESTAMENTARY TRUST CREATED
BY THE WILL OF THE LATE KATE HUEY
WEDGWOOD AND AS TRUSTEE FOR THE
TESTAMENTARY TRUST CREATED BY
THE WILL OF THE LATE ROBERT JAMES
WEDGWOOD

[Second Defendant:]

AND
ELIZABETH ELEANOR BROWNING IN
HER OWN CAPACITY AND AS TRUSTEE
FOR THE TESTAMENTARY TRUST
CREATED BY THE WILL OF THE LATE
KATE HUEY WEDGWOOD AND AS
TRUSTEE FOR THE TESTAMENTARY
TRUST CREATED BY THE WILL OF THE
LATE ROBERT JAMES WEDGWOOD.

APPLICATION

TAKE NOTICE that the THE FIRST AND SECOND DEFENDANTS ARE is
applying to the Court for the following orders:

1. THE UNNAMED DEPUTY REGISTRAR WHO ISSUED THE *AB INITIO*
NULL AND VOID DEFAULT JUDGMENT DATED 15.11.2012 IN
FAVOUR OF THE PLAINTIFF AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS IS IN
JURISDICTIONAL ERROR (THE UNNAMED REGISTRAR) ON THE
FOLLOWING GROUNDS:
 - 1.1. THE UNNAMED REGISTRAR IS NOT A JUDICIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO S. 77(iii) OF THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION
ACT 1900 (THE CONSTITUTION).
 - 1.2. THE LEGISLATIVE POWER CONFERRED BY THE GIVEN
PARAGRAPH OF S.51 OF THE CONSTITUTION CONFERRING
LEGISLATIVE POWER TO MAKE LAWS OF A JUDICIAL NATURE IS
CONFINED TO SS. 76 AND 77 OF THE CONSTITUTION (THE
LEGISLATIVE POWER).
 - 1.3. THE LEGISLATIVE POWER CONFERS JURISDICTION UPON ONE
OR OTHER OF THE COURTS MENTIONED IN S.71 OF THE
CONSTITUTION (THE COURTS).

* Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act
(UK) 1900

- 1.4. THE COURTS OF RECORDS OF THE STATE OF QUEENSLAND INCLUDING THE SUPREME COURT OF QUEENSLAND AT BRISBANE IS MADE UP OF JUSTICES APPOINTED UNDER THE TENURE OF S. 72 OF THE CONSTITUTION (THE JUSTICES).
- * x 1.5. THE JUSTICES DO NOT INCLUDE THE REGISTRAR OR THE DEPUTY REGISTRAR OR THE UNNAMED REGISTRAR WHO ARE NOT OFFICERS OF THE COURT BUT FUNCTIONARIES PLACED UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE COURT (THE FUNCTIONARIES).
- x 1.6. THE UNNAMED REGISTRAR IS ONE OF THE FUNCTIONARIES WHO HAS NO JUDICIAL POWER TO MAKE JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS OF ISSUES OF FACTS AND LAW WHICH AFFECTS THE EXISTING RIGHTS OF THE DEFENDANTS (THE FUNCTIONARY HAS NO JUDICIAL POWER).
- * 1.7. THE JUDICIAL POWERS IS DEFINED AS A JUDICIAL INQUIRY WHICH INVESTIGATES, DECLARES AND ENFORCES LIABILITIES AS THEY STAND ON THE PRESENT OR PAST FACTS UNDER LAWS SUPPOSED ALREADY TO EXIST, THAT IS ITS PURPOSE AND END. THE UNNAMED REGISTRAR HAS NO SUCH FUNCTIONS TO MAKE JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS (JUDICIAL DETERMINATIONS).
2. THE COURT DECLARES:
- x 2.1. THAT THE AB INITIO NULL AND VOID DEFAULT JUDGMENT OF THE UNNAMED REGISTRAR DATED 15.11.2012 IS AND BE SET ASIDE AS IT IS A NULLITY AT LAW AND HAS NO OPERATIVE EFFECTS UPON THE DEFENDANTS (THE VOID AB INITIO DEFAULT JUDGMENT).
- * x 2.2. THE ENFORCEMENT ORDER OF JUSTICE DOUGLAS DATED 17.6.2013 WHICH IS BASED ON THE VOID AB INITIO DEFAULT JUDGMENT IS ALSO NULL AND VOID (THE VOID AB INITIO JUSTICE DOUGLAS ORDERS).
- x 2.3. CHIEF JUSTICE De JERSEY OF QUEENSLAND MASQUERADED AS THE UNNAMED REGISTRAR IN MAKING THE AB INITIO VOID DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND AS A CONSEQUENCE, HIS HONOUR DARES NOT PUT HIS NAME AS THE VOID AB INITIO DEFAULT JUDGMENT JUDGE BECAUSE HIS HONOUR WAS REASONABLY FOUND TO BE PERVERTING THE CAUSE OF JUSTICE PURSUANT TO SS. 42 AND 43 OF THE CRIMES ACT, 1914 (CTH).
- * x 2.4. THE LEARNED CHIEF JUSTICE, THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEENSLAND AND THE COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY GENERAL IS NOW BEING PUT ON NOTICE THAT THE JUDICIARY OF QUEENSLAND IS REASONABLY FOUND TO BE CORRUPT AND TO HAVE COMMITTED CORRUPT ACTS FOR HIS OWN BENEFIT AND THAT HE BE INVESTIGATED AND BE CHARGED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW (THE CHIEF JUSTICE IS CORRUPT).
- * 3. THE PLAINTIFF HAS NO PRIVITY OF CONTRACT AND THEREFORE NO ENTITLEMENT OR STANDING TO SUE THE DEFENDANTS FOR BREACH (IF ANY) OF THE ORIGINAL LOAN ARRANGEMENTS FLEXIBLY ENTERED INTO BETWEEN PERMANENT CUSTODIANS LTD AND THE DEFENDANTS DATED 12.6.2007 (PLAINTIFF "NO STANDING").

*
*from the
beginning*